

## Technical Evaluation of Double Head Microscope

		RAAB Scientific	Global marketing	S.U. Enterprises
Mandatory Documents	Status on ATL	Yes	Technically OUT by the END-USER	Technically OUT by the END-USER
	Income Tax Registration	Yes		
	Sales Tax Registration	Yes		
	Last Year income Tax Returns	Yes		
	Last Year Sales Returns	Yes		
	Last 03 years Bank Statement & audit reports by external auditor	Yes		
	Registration with respective Govt. Department	Yes		
	Authorized dealer of the original manufacturer	Yes		
	Certificate that 2% CDR is attached with financial bid	Yes		
	Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested regarding black listing and other conditions as per provided specimen in the bid document	Yes		
	Warranty with parts as per bid document	Yes		
	100% compliance with purchaser's specifications	Yes		

EVALUATION CRITERIA

S/No	Parameters	Detail/Supporting Documents	Maximum Marks	RAAB Scientific
1	Running Cost of quoted item  (Economical= 20, medium= 15, Costly= 7, Very costly= 0)	List with cost of consumable items of quoted item	20 Concerned Engineer and End User will calculate this cost	20
		List with cost of replaceable accessories of quoted item		
		Charges NOT covered under warranty		
		Expected numbers/duration of services/test/procedures with brand new accessories		
2	Product Sample (Evaluated by End User)	Additional Special Feature (s) NOT mentioned by the purchaser: up to 10 Marks	10	10
3	Physical resources	Established office within 200 Km from purchaser: 2	2	02
		Established office beyond 200 Km from purchaser: 1		
		List of tools, testing equipment and calibration equipment relevant to the product	1	01
		Details of spare parts available for quoted items	1	01
		Detailed Training Plan with resource persons	1	01
			1	01
4	Technical Staff	Qualified Technician	1	01
		Diploma Engineer	1	01
		Graduate Engineers (For High Tech/Critical Equipment)	2	02
		MSc/PhD Qualification/Foreign Training	1	01
5	Market Experience in quoted items	02 Mark per year. Maximum 10 marks	10	10
6	International Certifications	Registration with US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)	3	03
		Registration with European Community (CE)	3	03
		Registration with Japan Industrial Standard (JIS)	3	00
7	Manufacturer International performance certificate	02 marks per certificate, total 06 marks	6	06

8	Manufacturers local performance certificate of quoted equipment by HOD of relevant field of reputed hospital of at least 50 beds. The certificate should NOT be older than THREE years	Performance Certificate from the user mentioning "Excellent, very Good & Good Performance". Maximum marks 10. (Maximum 2 certificates) Excellent= 5, Very Good= 3 & Good=2	10	10
9	Warranty	01 years beyond asked warranty with parts: 2 02 years beyond asked warranty with parts: 6 03 years beyond asked warranty with parts: 10	10	00
10	Post-warranty Maintenance Services including provision of spare parts	Post-warranty Maintenance Contract offering percentage (%) of the contract value in the Technical Bid. The lowest will get the Full Marks. The rates MUST come from the Original Manufacturer	05	4% 5
TOTAL MARKS			90	77

In Technical Evaluation 70% (63/90) marks will be passing marks

50% weightage would be given to technical evaluation marks and 50% weightage would be for financial.

$$\text{Financial Marks} = \frac{\text{Lowest Bidder Bid under consideration}}{\text{}} \times 100 \times 0.5$$

**Dr. Ammar Bin Saad**  
MBBS, M. Phil, FCPS (Hematology)  
Asst. Prof. Hematology (B.S. 19)  
Ayub Medical College Abbottabad  
End-User

Engr Sajjad Alam  
Dy. Director Equipment

Dr. Danish Naveed  
Member

Dr. Mohammad Yaseen  
Member

Dr. Jawad Hussain  
Member

Dr. Matiullah Khan  
Chairman